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Abstract: This study aims to assess whether the service quality of academic and educational facilities affects either jointly or partially on student satisfaction. The population in this study were students numbered 130, the number of samples taken as many as 88 students. Data collection instrument used was a questionnaire using Likert scale which is based on the construction summarized from various theories that translate them into operational variable, while the testing of research instruments is done through validity and reliability test. Hypothesis testing is done using a multiple linear regression analysis. The results showed that (1) Taken together there is the influence of academic services and facilities quality education to student satisfaction,
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1. Introduction
Academic services is an integral part of all educational activities conducted. Academic activity becomes an issue that needs the attention of all of its components in the development of academic performance is very in touch with the dimensions of service quality dimensions such as that raised by(Dora, 2017) ie reliability, responsiveness, assurance, empathy and tangible, so that students who are served are satisfied. Student satisfaction is the most important element and should be a major concern for both public and private universities because students are the subject of the most instrumental in the university's existence. Without students, the college would not exist. Each college should be able to give satisfaction, so that students do not run into college competitors.
In connection with the satisfaction of students deemed necessary to evaluate all the educational management activities, both in financial, social, external and internal environment. One of the internal environments is the student as an agent for service users need special attention, because of the student will have an impact to the external environment is the general public who will assess the performance of education.
Aside from the service quality factors, factors of educational facilities can not be dismissed. The facilities are all aspects related to the physical infrastructure supporting the learning process both academic and non-academic. Examples of such facilities are space, equipment, books and computers.(Usman, 2010)is a facility is the provision of physical paraphernalia to provide convenience to the user in carrying out his activities or kegiatankegiatannya, so that all those needs can be met properly. Facilities owned by educational institutions such as universities should be a facility that can support teaching and learning activities in order to run properly and achieve maximum results where these facilities can be used by lecturers to teach and be used by students to learn and perform other activities useful as a means of developing the talents and abilities of students. The types of facilities, among others, may include libraries, laboratories, computer centers and the internet, language education programs, office that serves alumni and so forth. Based on the above rationale, the authors wanted to do research on the Influence of Service Quality for Academic and Educational Facilities on Student Satisfaction
2. Literature Review

2.1 Quality of Academic Services

Quality (Quality) are dynamic continues to move, if moving forward is said to be improving the quality, if the quality is said to be moving backwards downhill.(Napitupulu et al., 2018) Quality can mean superiority or excellence that exceeds the general standard. Something can be said to be qualified if there is a match between the terms that are owned by objects or services desired by the intent of the person who wishes academic service quality is the ratio between the perceived academic services customers or stakeholders with the expected quality of academic services customers or stakeholders). If the perceived quality of academic services to equal or exceed the quality of service expected in the service quality is said.

Quality is also a major component or create a user or a service provider or service melengkapan or change services(Personal & Archive, 2017) Quality assurance of the academic excellence to meet the requirements of regular monitoring and evaluation of all relevant units of the provision of services (Mestrovic, 2018). Services are activities or serangakaian activities invisible that occurs because of the interaction between users and employees or other things that are provided by the service provider company that aims to solve the problem of a user or subscriber, generally reflecting the industry sectors intangible or specific such as education, care, health, telecommunications, transportation, insurance, banking, hotels and so on(Made Yudana & Nyoman Natajaya, 2013). The quality of academic services are provided by the customer values the extent of academic services are provided in accordance with customer expectations(Shaylide, 2014), Customers in this case the student would say that academic service quality if it according to their specifications. The quality of academic services in this study is the value given on how good the academic services provided able to match the expectations of students.

2.2 Education Facilities

According to (Nugroho, Cahyono, & Suryawirawan, 2018)is a facility is providing physical perlengkapanperlengkapan to provide convenience to the user in performing aktivitasaktivitasnya or kegiatankegiatannya, so that all those needs can be met properly. Facilities owned by educational institutions such as universities should be a facility that can support teaching and learning activities in order to run properly and achieve maximum results where these facilities can be used by faculty to teach and be used by students to learn and perform other activities which is useful as a means of developing the talents and abilities of students.

The educational facilities at the college represents support for the implementation of the process of academic services. Amenities in education means everything physical and material, which can facilitate the implementation process of learning, such as the availability of a place of learning, classroom supplies, teaching aids learning, textbooks, library, pratikum equipment and laboratory fittings. Real form at the college facilities such as campus buildings, classrooms, laboratories, internet facilities, library, cafeteria, classroom equipment that can be used in the learning process. The dimensions of the college facilities include flexibility, structuring, good quality, feasibility of use, completeness, according to need, academic support, good design.(Azam, 2018)

2.3 Student Satisfaction

Besifat satisfaction is an individual. Each individual has a level of satisfaction varies according to the value system that applies the higher the perceived assessment of the activity in accordance with the desires of the individual, the higher the satisfaction with the activity. Thus it can be said that satisfaction is an evaluation that describes someone on feeling happy or not happy attitude in the activity. Customer satisfaction is the level of feeling that someone claimed the comparison of the performance of the products or services received and the expected(Marthalina, 2018).
According to (Chandra, Ng, Chandra, and Priyono, 2018) satisfaction is the level of feeling where someone stated results of the comparison of the performance of products (services) received as expected. Satisfaction is the level of one's feelings after comparing the performance (results) are perceived with expectations (Kurbani, 2017) Many benefits can be obtained by the company with the achievement of a high level of satisfaction. High satisfaction will create loyal customers that want to buy back the goods or services, consumers want to promote the products or services kecalon other consumers. Departing from the basic concept of customer satisfaction, higher education is essentially a service industry that provides services or educational services which aim to provide satisfaction to its customers (students). Student satisfaction will be achieved when there is a match between the academic services provided to students with student expectations (Saif, 2014) academic student satisfaction for services received visits from the correspondence between expectations and performance of the services received.

2.4 Framework
Briefly framework underlying this study is illustrated into the following chart:

![Figure 1. Framework](image)

2.5 Research Hypothesis
From the formulation of the problem, research objectives, the theoretical basis and has poured in a frame of mind, it can be hypothesized as follows:

a. Estimated the quality of academic services and educational facilities affects the student satisfaction
b. Anticipated academic service quality effect on satisfaction
c. Anticipated educational facilities affects the student satisfaction

Hypothesis is a temporary statement or the most probable allegation that still needs to be sought (Sugiyono, 2012: 93). Based on the concepts and results of the empirical study, the researchers propose several hypotheses in this study as follows:

H1: There is a significant effect between Customer Satisfaction on Customer Loyalty.
H2: There is a significant effect between Switching Barriers on Customer Loyalty.

3. Research Methods
The data used in this study are primary data and sekuder. Secondary data comes from books and journals. The primary data sourced from respondents through questionnaires. Population is a generalization region consisting of objects or subjects that have quality and characteristics defined by the researchers to learn
and then drawn conclusions,(Cristea, 2016) cited in (Setyaleksana, Suharyono, & Yulianto, 2017), The population in this study is all respondents who filled out a questionnaire as many as 128 respondents. While the sample according to(Sumarni, 2019) cited in (Nelwan, Mandey, and Bhaskara, 2014) is part of the number and characteristics possessed by this population. The samples in this study were 86 respondents.

Operational Definition and Measurement of Variables
The operational definition is a definition given in a variable by giving meaning or specification of activities that will be used to measure these variables. Understanding these operations and then broken down into the indicators used in each variable.

Service quality
The quality of academic services are provided by the customer values the extent of academic services are provided in accordance with customer expectations(Sapri & Finch, 2009), Customers in this case the student would say that academic service quality if it according to their specifications. The quality of academic services in this study is the value given on how good the academic services provided able to match the expectations of students.

Education facilities
The educational facilities at the college represents support for the implementation of the process of academic services. Amenities in education means everything physical and material, which can facilitate the implementation process of learning, such as the availability of a place of learning, classroom supplies, teaching aids learning, textbooks, library, pratikum equipment and laboratory fittings. Real form at the college facilities such as campus buildings, classrooms, laboratories, internet facilities, library, cafeteria, classroom equipment that can be used in the learning process. The dimensions of the college facilities include flexibility, structuring, good quality, feasibility of use, completeness, according to need, academic support, good design,(Azam, 2018)

Student satisfaction
According to(Chandra et al., 2018)satisfaction is the level of feeling where someone stated results of the comparison of the performance of products (services) received as expected. Satisfaction is the level of one's feelings after comparing the performance (results) are perceived with expectations(Kurbani, 2017), Departing from the basic concept of customer satisfaction, higher education is essentially a service industry that provides services or educational services which aim to provide satisfaction to its customers (students). Student satisfaction will be achieved when there is a match between the academic services provided to students with student expectations. academic student satisfaction for services received visits from the correspondence between expectations and performance of the services it receives(Saif, 2014),

Analysis method
Validity test
Validity indicates the degree to which an instrument able to measure what should be measured. Validity is the degree of accuracy of the data actually happened on the object of research with data that can be reported by researchers. Thus the valid data is data "tidk different" between the data reported by researchers with the word actually happened on the object of study(Octabriyantiningtyas, Syriac, and Jatmiko, 2019),
Test Reliability

(Dirgantari, 2012) cited in (Fatona, 2010) Reliability is a tool to measure a questionnaire which is an indicator of a variable. Reliability with respect to the degree of consistency and stability of the data or findings. In view of positivistic (quantitative), the data is declared reliable if two or more researchers within the same object produces the same data, or the same researchers in different time generate the same data, or a bunch of data when broken down show different data.

4. Research Result

Validity and Reliability Testing

Validity and reliability of the respondents to see the validity and reliability of the research instruments used. According Sugiyono (2009: 352) cited in (Kesuma, Hadiwidjojo, Wiagustini, & Rohman, 2013) test performed after instrument / questionnaire is completed, the instrument then tested in a population from which the sample was taken.

Validity test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correlations</th>
<th>X1.1</th>
<th>X1.2</th>
<th>X1.3</th>
<th>TOTAL_X1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X1.1 Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>, 696 **</td>
<td>, 470 **</td>
<td>, 873 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X1.2 Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>, 696 **</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>, 438 **</td>
<td>, 878 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X1.3 Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>, 470 **</td>
<td>, 438 **</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>, 738 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL_X1 Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>, 873 **</td>
<td>, 878 **</td>
<td>, 738 **</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**, Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).
Table 4.2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>X2.1</th>
<th>X2.2</th>
<th>X2.3</th>
<th>X2.4</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>_X2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>757 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X2.2 Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>849 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X2.3 Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>270 *</td>
<td>676 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X2.4 Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>270 *</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>719 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL _X2 Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).
*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

Table 4.3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Y.1</th>
<th>Y.2</th>
<th>Y.3</th>
<th>TOTAL _L_Y</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>219 *</td>
<td>714 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>.015</td>
<td>040</td>
<td>000</td>
<td>000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4.1, Table 4.2 and Table 4.3 shows the overall item to the variable is a valid question because it is above the critical value $r_{table} = 0.2096$ (from the table Spearman Rho, $df = (N-2)$) so that the grains of the instrument can be used to measure the research variables.

Test Reliability

Table 4.4 X1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reliability Statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cronbach’s Alpha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.776</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.5 X2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reliability Statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cronbach’s Alpha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.739</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Based on the results of the reliability test are shown in the tables above, then all variables in this study expressed a reliable instrument. This is in accordance with predetermined criteria wherein the resulting Cronbach alpha values of each variable is above the critical value suggested. Suliyanto (2005: 51) cited in (Yulianti, Sjahruddin, and Tahir, 2015) states the instrument can be said reliably (reliable) if it has a reliability coefficient of reliability> alpha value or when the value of alpha> of table Spearman Rho, df = (α, n-2) means that the variable is declared unreliable. Reliability of research instrument reliability coefficient of 0.776; 0.739; 0.688 (instrument reliability value> 0.6), meaning that the variable X1, X2, and Y otherwise reliable. So that data analysis can be continued to predict the relationship between variables in accordance with the hypothesis.

Hypothesis test

Testing Hypotheses H1 and H2 with T test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coefficientsa</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Coefficients unstandardized</th>
<th>standardized Coefficients</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Std. Error</td>
<td>Beta</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of Academic Services (X1)</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>1.452</td>
<td>.150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education Facilities (X2)</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>054</td>
<td>622</td>
<td>6.762</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Dependent Variable: Student Satisfaction (Y)

First Hypothesis Testing (H1)

Sig value is known to influence the Academic Quality of Service (X1) to the Student Satisfaction (Y) is equal to 0.150> 0.05 and 1.452 t count <t table1,992, so it can be concluded that H1 is rejected, which means there is no influence Quality of Academic Services(X1) to Student satisfaction (Y).

Testing the second hypothesis (H2)

It is known to influence the value of Sig Educational Facilities (X2) on the Student Satisfaction (Y) of 0.000 <0.05 and 6.762 t count> t table 1,992, so it can be concluded that the H2 received, which means there is influence of Educational Facilities (X2) to the Student Satisfaction (Y).
H3 Hypothesis Testing with Test F

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Sum of Squares</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>mean Square</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regression</td>
<td>99.442</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>49.721</td>
<td>41.821</td>
<td>0.000b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>residual</td>
<td>101.058</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>1,189</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>200.500</td>
<td>87</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Dependent Variable: Student Satisfaction (Y)
b. Predictors: (Constant), Educational Facilities (X2), Quality of Academic Services (X1)

Based on the above output is known to influence the value of Sig Academic Quality of Service (X1) and Education Facilities (X2) simultaneously on Student Satisfaction (Y) of 0.000 <0.05 and F count 41.821 > F table 3.10, so it can be concluded that H3 received, which means there is influence of Quality of Academic Services (X1) and Education facilities (X2) simultaneously on Student Satisfaction (Y).

5. Conclusions and recommendations

Conclusions
a. Taken together there is the influence of academic services and facilities quality education to the student satisfaction
b. Partially no effect on the quality of academic services of student satisfaction
c. Partially there are significant educational facilities to the students satisfaction

Suggestions
From the results of research on the quality of academic services and education to the student satisfaction fasisilitas some recommendations that could be suggested are:
a. For the quality of academic services,
b. which should be a priority to be improved is the personnel that directly confront the students need to be equipped with special skills of students while serving as one of the stakeholders.
c. Improvements to facilities
b. education should refer to the real needs of students. Facilities that need priority to be remedied or improved quality is representatif lecture room, whiteboard facilities, fan, LCD, and wifi / internet access as supporting the lecture.
a. Identify the need for more
d. depth needs to be done to enable the compatibility between
e. the needs of students as one of the stakeholders with the procurement of facilities and the establishment of programs to improve the quality of service quality.
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